

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

**PENDING CLAIMS**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application.

1. (Previously Presented) A method of estimating a communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ , comprising the steps of:

generating  $co_m(t) = co(t + mNT_c)$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$  by correlating a received signal  $r(t)$  with a spreading sequence  $S_i$  of length  $N$ , wherein the received signal  $r(t)$  comprises a chip sequence  $c_j$  applied to a communication channel characterizable by the communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ , and wherein the chip sequence  $c_j$  is generated from a data sequence  $d_i$  spread by the spreading sequence  $S_i$  and wherein  $T_c$  is the chip period of the chip sequence  $c_j$ ;

generating an estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  as a combination of  $co_m(t)$  and  $d_m$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$ , where  $d_m$  is the  $m$ -th symbol and  $M$  is number of symbols used to generate the estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$ ; and

filtering the estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  with a filter  $f$  to generate a filtered estimate of the communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ , the filter  $f$  being selected at least in part according to the spreading sequence  $S_i$ .

2. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein the filter  $f$  is further selected at least in part according to an autocorrelation  $A(n)$  of the spreading sequence  $S_i$ .

3. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the filter  $f$  is

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

further selected at least in part according to the duration of the communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ .

4. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 2, wherein the filter  $f$  is further selected at least in part according to a zero-forcing criteria

$$\sum_{i=-L}^L (A(n-i) \cdot f(i)) = A_f(n), -L \leq n \leq L, \text{ wherein:}$$

$f(i)$  is an impulse response of the filter  $f$  such that  $A_f(n)$  is a convolution of  $A(n)$  and  $f(i)$ ;

$A_f(n)=1$  for  $n=0$  and  $A_f(n)=0$  for  $0 < |n| \leq L$ , and

$$A(n) = A(-n) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1-n} S_i \cdot S_{i+n}, 0 \leq n \leq N.$$

5. (Original) The method of claim 4, wherein:  
the parameter  $L$  is chosen such that a time duration of the impulse response of the communication channel  $h(t)$  is less than  $LT_c$ .

6. (Original) The method of claim 4, wherein:  
the parameter  $L$  is chosen such that a time duration of the impulse response of the communication channel  $h(t)$  is approximately equal to  $LT_c$ .

7. (Original) The method of claim 1, wherein  $N$  is less than 20.

8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein  $M = 1$ .

9. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the data sequence  $d_i$  includes a constrained portion  $Cd_i$  associated with at least two codes  $w_0, w_1$ , wherein a correlation  $A_{code}(k)$  of the constrained portion  $Cd_i$  with one of the codes  $w_0, w_1$  is characterized by a maximum value at  $k = 0$  and less than maximum values at  $k \neq 0$ .

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

10. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating an estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  as a combination of  $c_{0m}(t)$  and  $d_m$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$  comprises the step of computing  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  as

$$(1/M) \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} d_m \cdot c_0(t+mNT_c).$$

11. (Original) The method of claim 10, wherein  $M=2$ .

12. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein the data sequence  $d_i$  includes a preamble having a pseudorandom code including the constrained portion of the data sequence  $d_i$ .

13. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein  $A_{code}(k)=1$  at  $k = 0$  and  $A_{code}(k) = 0$  for substantially all  $k \neq 0$ .

14. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein  $A_{code}(k)=0$  for  $0 < |k| \leq J$ , wherein  $J$  is selected to minimize the correlation of the constrained portion  $Cd_i$  with the one of the codes  $w_0, w_1$  for substantially all  $k \neq 0$ .

15. (Original) The method of claim 14, wherein  $2J$  is a length of the constrained  $Cd_i$ .

16. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 9, wherein  $A_{code}(k) = 1$  at  $k=0$  and  $A_{code}(k) \approx 0$  for substantially all  $k \neq 0$ .

17. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 9, wherein each of the at least two codes  $w_0, w_1$  comprises two symbols.

18. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 9, wherein each of the at least two codes  $w_0, w_1$  comprises no more than two symbols.

19. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 9, wherein the codes  $w_0, w_1$

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

comprise Walsh codes.

20. (Previously Presented) An apparatus for estimating a communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ , comprising:

means for generating  $co_m(t) = co(t + mNT_c)$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$  by correlating a received signal  $r(t)$  with a spreading sequence  $S_i$  of length  $N$ , wherein the received signal  $r(t)$  comprises a chip sequence  $c_j$  applied to a communication channel characterizable by the communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ , and

wherein the chip sequence  $c_j$  is generated from a data sequence  $d_i$  spread by the

spreading sequence  $S_i$ , and wherein  $T_c$  is the chip period of the chip sequence  $c_j$ ;

means for generating an estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  as a combination of  $co_m(t)$  and  $d_m$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$ , where  $d_m$  is the  $m$ -th symbol and  $M$  is number of symbols used to generate the estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$ ; and

a filter means  $f$ , selected at least in part according to the spreading sequence  $S_i$ , the filter means for filtering the estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  to generate a filtered estimate of the communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ .

21. (Original) The apparatus of claim 20, wherein the filter means  $f$  is further selected at least in part according to an autocorrelation  $A(n)$  of the spreading sequence  $S_i$ .

22. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein the filter means  $f$  is further selected at least in part according to the duration of the communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ .

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

23. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 21, wherein the filter means f is further selected at least in part according to a zero-forcing criteria

$$\sum_{i=-L}^L (A(n-i) \cdot f(i)) = A_f(n), -L \leq n \leq L, \text{ wherein:}$$

$f(i)$  is an impulse response of the filter means f such that  $A_f(n)$  is a convolution of  $A(n)$  and  $f(i)$ ;

$$A_f(n) = 1 \text{ for } n = 0 \text{ and } A_f(n) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < |n| \leq L; \text{ and}$$

$$A(n) = A(-n) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1-n} S_i \cdot S_{i+n}, 0 \leq n \leq N.$$

24. (Original) The apparatus of claim 23, wherein:

the parameter L is chosen such that a time duration of the impulse response of the communication channel  $h(t)$  is less than  $LT_c$ .

25. (Original) The apparatus of claim 23, wherein:

the parameter L is chosen such that a time duration of the impulse response of the communication channel  $h(t)$  is approximately equal to  $LT_c$ .

26. (Original) The apparatus of claim 20, wherein N is less than 20.

27. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 20, wherein  
 $M=1$ .

28. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 20, wherein the data sequence  $d_i$  includes a constrained portion  $Cd_i$  associated with at least two codes  $w_0, w_1$ , wherein a correlation  $A_{code}(k)$  of the constrained portion  $Cd_i$  with one of the codes  $w_0, w_1$  is characterized by a maximum value at  $k = 0$  and less than maximum values at  $k \neq 0$ .

29. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 20, wherein the means for

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

generating an estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  as a combination of  $c_{0m}(t)$  and  $d_m$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$  comprises means for computing  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  as

$$(1/M) \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} d_m \cdot c_0(t+mNT_c)$$

30. (Original) The apparatus of claim 29, wherein  $M = 2$ .  
31. (Original) The apparatus of claim 28, wherein the data sequence  $d_i$  includes a preamble having a pseudorandom code including the constrained portion of the data sequence  $d_i$ .

32. (Original) The apparatus of claim 28, wherein  $A_{code}(k)=1$  at  $k = 0$  and  $A_{code}(k) = 0$  for substantially all  $k \neq 0$ .

33. (Original) The apparatus of claim 28, wherein  $A_{code}(k)=0$  for  $0 < |k| \leq J$  wherein  $J$  is selected to minimize the correlation of the constrained portion  $Cd_i$  with the one of the codes  $w_0, w_1$  for substantially all  $k \neq 0$ .

34. (Original) The apparatus of claim 33, wherein  $2J$  is a length of the constrained portion  $Cd_i$ .

35. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 28, wherein  $A_{code}(k)=1$  at  $k = 0$  and  $A_{code}(k) \approx 0$  for substantially all  $k \neq 0$ .

36. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 28, wherein each of the at least two codes  $w_0, w_1$  comprises two symbols.

37. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 28, wherein each of the at least two codes  $w_0, w_1$  comprises no more than two symbols.

38. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 28, wherein the codes  $w_0, w_1$  comprise Walsh codes.

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

39. (Previously Presented) An apparatus for estimating a communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ , comprising:

a correlator generating  $co_m(t) = co(t + mNT_c)$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$  by correlating a received signal  $r(t)$  with a spreading sequence  $S_i$  of length  $N$ , wherein the received signal  $r(t)$  comprises a chip sequence  $c_j$  applied to a communication channel characterizable by the communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ , and wherein the chip-sequence- $c_j$ -is-generated-from-a-data-sequence- $d_i$ -spread-by-the-spreading sequence  $S_i$ , and wherein  $T_c$  is the chip period of the chip sequence  $c_j$ ;

---

an estimator for generating an estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  as a combination of  $co_m(t)$  and  $d_m$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$  where  $d_m$  is the  $m$ -th symbol and  $M$  is number of symbols used to generate the estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$ ; and

a filter  $f$  selected at least in part according to the spreading sequence  $S_i$ , the filter for filtering the estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  to generate a filtered estimate of the communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ .

40. (Original) The apparatus of claim 39, wherein the filter  $f$  is further selected at least in part according to an autocorrelation  $A(n)$  of the spreading sequence  $S_i$ .

41. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 40, wherein the filter  $f$  is further selected at least in part according to the duration of the communication channel impulse response  $h(t)$ .

42. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 40, wherein the filter  $f$  is further selected at least in part according to a zero-forcing criteria

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

$$\sum_{i=-L}^L (A(n-i) \cdot f(i)) = A_f(n), -L \leq n \leq L, \text{ wherein:}$$

$f(i)$  is an impulse response of the filter  $f$  such that  $A_f(n)$  is a convolution of  $A(n)$  and  $f(i)$ ;

$A_f(n) = 1$  for  $n = 0$  and  $A_f(n) = 0$  for  $0 < |n| \leq L$ ; and

$$A(n) = A(-n) = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1-n} S_i \cdot S_{i+n}, 0 \leq n \leq N.$$

43. (Original) The apparatus of claim 42, wherein:

the parameter L is chosen such that a time duration of the impulse response of the communication channel  $h(t)$  is less than  $LT_c$ .

44. (Original) The apparatus of claim 42, wherein:

the parameter L is chosen such that a time duration of the impulse response of the communication channel  $h(t)$  is approximately equal to  $LT_c$ .

45. (Original) The apparatus of claim 39, wherein N is less than 20.

46. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 39, wherein M = 1.

47. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 39, wherein the data sequence  $d_i$  includes a constrained portion  $Cd_i$  associated with at least two codes  $w_0, w_1$ , wherein a correlation  $A_{code}(k)$  of the constrained portion  $Cd_i$  with one of the codes  $w_0, w_1$  is characterized by a maximum value at  $k = 0$  and less than maximum values at  $k \neq 0$ .

48. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 39, wherein the estimator for generating an estimated communication channel impulse response  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  as a

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

combination of  $co_m(t)$  and  $d_m$  for  $m = 0, 1, \dots, M$  comprises means for computing  $\hat{h}_M(t)$  as

$$(1/M) \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} d_m \cdot co(t+mNT_c).$$

49. (Original) The apparatus of claim 48, wherein  $M = 2$ .

50. (Original) The apparatus of claim 47, wherein the data sequence  $d_i$  includes a preamble having a pseudorandom code including the constrained portion of the data sequence  $d_i$ .

51. (Original) The apparatus of claim 47, wherein  $A_{code}(k)=1$  at  $k=0$  and  $A_{code}(k)=0$  for substantially all  $k \neq 0$ .

52. (Original) The apparatus of claim 47, wherein  $A_{code}(k)=0$  for  $0 < |k| \leq J$ , wherein  $J$  is selected to minimize the correlation of the constrained portion  $Cd_i$  with the one of the codes  $w_0, w_1$  for substantially all  $k \neq 0$ .

53. (Original) The apparatus of claim 52, wherein  $2J$  is a length of the constrained portion  $Cd_i$ .

54. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 47, wherein  $A_{code}(k)=1$  at  $k=0$  and  $A_{code}(k) \approx 0$  for substantially all  $k \neq 0$ .

55. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 47, wherein each of the at least two codes  $w_0, w_1$  comprises two symbols.

56. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 47, wherein each of the at least two codes  $w_0, w_1$  comprises no more than two symbols.

57. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 47, wherein the codes  $w_0, w_1$  comprise Walsh codes.

58. (Previously Presented) A method of estimating a communication channel

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

response, comprising:

obtaining a received sequence via a communication channel, the received sequence comprising a chip sequence generated by spreading a data sequence with a spreading sequence;

generating a correlated sequence by correlating the received sequence with the spreading sequence;

generating an estimated communication channel impulse response based on the correlated sequence and a known portion of the data sequence; and

~~filtering the estimated communication channel impulse response based on a filter to obtain a filtered estimate of the communication channel impulse response, the filter being selected based on the spreading sequence.~~

59. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 58, wherein the generating the estimated communication channel impulse response comprises

multiplying multiple data symbols in the known portion with the correlated sequence at multiple time offsets, and

generating the estimated communication channel impulse based on a sum of results of the multiplication.

60. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 58, wherein the filter is selected such that convolution of an impulse response of the filter and an autocorrelation of the spreading sequence is non-zero at center of the autocorrelation and is zero for a predetermined range to the left and right of the center of the autocorrelation.

61. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 58, wherein the spreading sequence is an 11-chip Barker sequence.

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

62. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 58, wherein the known portion of the data sequence is selected such that correlation of the known portion with a code is characterized by a maximum value at one point in the known portion and less than maximum values at all other points in the known portion, and wherein the estimated communication channel impulse response is generated further based on the code.

63. (Previously Presented) An apparatus for estimating a communication channel impulse response, comprising:

~~means for obtaining a received sequence via a communication channel, the received sequence comprising a chip sequence generated by spreading a data sequence with a spreading sequence;~~

means for generating a correlated sequence by correlating the received sequence with the spreading sequence;

means for generating an estimated communication channel impulse response based on the correlated sequence and a known portion of the data sequence; and

means for filtering the estimated communication channel impulse response based on a filter to obtain a filtered estimate of the communication channel impulse response, the filter being selected based on the spreading sequence.

64. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 63, wherein the means for generating the estimated communication channel impulse response comprises means for multiplying multiple data symbols in the known portion with the correlated sequence at multiple time offsets, and

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

means for generating the estimated communication channel impulse based on a sum of results of the multiplication.

65. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 63, wherein the filter is selected such that convolution of an impulse response of the filter and an autocorrelation of the spreading sequence is non-zero at center of the autocorrelation and is zero for a predetermined range to the left and right of the center of the autocorrelation.

66. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 63, wherein the spreading sequence is an 11-chip Barker sequence.

67. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 63, wherein the known portion of the data sequence is selected such that correlation of the known portion with a code is characterized by a maximum value at one point in the known portion and less than maximum values at all other points in the known portion, and wherein the estimated communication channel impulse response is generated further based on the code.

68. (Currently Amended) An apparatus for estimating a communication channel impulse response, ~~comprising a processor configured the apparatus comprising~~

a processor;

a memory coupled to the processor, wherein the memory stores software codes that include instructions to be implemented by the processor to:

[[to]] a) obtain a received sequence via a communication channel, the received sequence comprising a chip sequence generated by spreading a data sequence with a spreading sequence;

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

[[to]] b) generate a correlated sequence by correlating the received sequence with the spreading sequence;

[[to]] c) generate an estimated communication channel impulse response based on the correlated sequence and a known portion of the data sequence; and

[[to]] d) filter the estimated communication channel impulse response based on a filter to obtain a filtered estimate of the communication channel impulse response, the filter being selected based on the spreading sequence.

69. (Currently Amended) The apparatus of claim 68, wherein ~~to generate the estimated communication channel impulse response, the processor is configured the software codes further include instructions to be implemented by the processor to~~

~~[[to]] multiply multiple data symbols in the known portion with the correlated sequence at multiple time offsets, and~~

~~[[to]] generate the estimated communication channel impulse based on a sum of results of the multiplication when the processor is configured to generate the estimated communication channel impulse response.~~

70. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 68, wherein the filter is selected such that convolution of an impulse response of the filter and an autocorrelation of the spreading sequence is non-zero at center of the autocorrelation and is zero for a predetermined range to the left and right of the center of the autocorrelation.

71. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 68, wherein the spreading sequence is an 11-chip Barker sequence.

72. (Previously Presented) The apparatus of claim 68, wherein the known portion of the data sequence is selected such that correlation of the known portion with a code is characterized by a maximum value at one point in the

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

known portion and less than maximum values at all other points in the known portion, and wherein the estimated communication channel impulse response is generated further based on the code.

73. (Currently Amended) A computer-readable medium ~~having computer executable code, the code comprising instructions for estimating a communication channel response, the instructions comprising the steps of including program code stored thereon, comprising:~~

~~program codes for obtaining a received sequence via a communication channel, the received sequence comprising a chip sequence generated by spreading a data sequence with a spreading sequence;~~

~~program codes for generating a correlated sequence by correlating the received sequence with the spreading sequence;~~

~~program codes for generating an estimated communication channel impulse response based on the correlated sequence and a known portion of the data sequence; and~~

~~program codes for filtering the estimated communication channel impulse response with a filter to obtain a filtered estimate of the communication channel impulse response, the filter being selected based on the spreading sequence.~~

74. (Currently Amended) The computer-readable medium of claim 73, ~~wherein the step of generating the estimated communication channel impulse response further comprises comprising:~~

~~program codes for multiplying multiple data symbols in the known portion with the correlated sequence at multiple time offsets, and~~

Attorney Docket No. 020306  
Customer No. 23,696

program codes for generating the estimated communication channel  
impulse based on a sum of results of the multiplication.

75. (Currently Amended) The computer-readable medium of claim 73, wherein the filter is ~~selected~~ such that convolution of an impulse response of the filter and an autocorrelation of the spreading sequence is non-zero at center of the autocorrelation and is zero for a predetermined range to the left and right of the center of the autocorrelation.

76. (Previously Presented) The computer-readable medium of claim 73,   
~~wherein the spreading sequence is an 11-chip Barker sequence.~~

77. (Currently Amended) The computer-readable medium of claim 73, wherein the known portion of the data sequence is ~~selected~~ such that correlation of the known portion with a code is characterized by a maximum value at one point in the known portion and less than maximum values at all other points in the known portion, and wherein the estimated communication channel impulse response is ~~generated further~~ based on the code.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:**

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**